THE CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

March 21, 2007

Executive Summary

REQUEST FOR A QUALIFIED PRIVATE ACTIVITY BOND ALLOCATION FOR A QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROJECT

Prepared by Brady Hill.

Applicant: California Statewide Communities Development Authority

Allocation Amount Requested: Tax-exempt \$70,000,000

Project Name: San Pedro Apartments

Project Address: 235 S. San Pedro Street

Project City, County, Zip Code: Los Angeles, Los Angeles, 90012

The proposed Project is located in a Community Revitalization Area, more specifically the Little Tokyo Redevelopment Project Area.

Project Sponsor Information:

Name: To be formed affiliate of The Related Companies of

California, LLC (The Related Companies of California,

LLC, Nicholas Real Estate Investments, LLC,

Related General IV, LP)

Principals: William A. Witte for Nicholas Real Estate Investments,

LLC; Stephen M. Ross and Michael J. Brenner for

Related General IV, L.P.

Project Financing Information:

Bond Counsel: Jones Hall, A Professional Law Corporation

Underwriter: Not applicable

Credit Enhancement Provider: Not applicable

Private Placement Purchaser: Goldman, Sachs & Co.

TEFRA Hearing: February 5, 2007

Description of Proposed Project:

State Ceiling Pool: Mixed Income

Total Number of Units: 229, plus 1 manager unit

Type: New Construction

Type of Units: Family

Description of Public Benefits:

Percent of Restricted Rental Units in the Project: 20%

20% (46 units) restricted to 50% or less of area median income households.

Unit Mix: Studio, 1 and 2 bedrooms

Term of Restrictions: 55 years

Louinated I otal Development Cost. 9/7./02.05	Estimated Total I	Development Cost:	\$74,782,037
---	--------------------------	-------------------	--------------

Estimated Hard Costs per Unit: \$ 183,976 (\$42,130,417/229 units) **Estimated per Unit Cost:** \$ 326,559 (\$74,782,037/229 units) **Allocation per Unit:** \$ 305,677 (\$70,000,000/229 units)

Allocation per Restricted Rental Unit: \$ 1,521,739 (\$70,000,000/46 restricted units)

Sources of Funds: Tax-Exempt Bond Proceeds LIH Tax Credit Equity Deferred Costs Total Sources	Construction \$70,000,000 \$ 239,102 \$ 4,542,935 \$74,782,037	Permanent \$70,000,000 \$ 4,782,037 \$ 0 \$74,782,037
Uses of Funds:		
Land Purchase	\$ 5,740,000	
On-Site & Off-Site Costs	\$ 794,375	
Hard Construction Costs	\$41,336,042	
Architect & Engineering Fees	\$ 3,201,300	
Contractor Overhead & Profit	\$ 6,180,843	
Developer Fee	\$ 2,418,000	
Cost of Issuance	\$ 595,500	
Capitalized Interest	\$ 4,309,500	
Other Soft Costs	<u>\$10,206,476</u>	
Total Uses	\$74,782,037	

The Project Sponsor has requested an exception to the \$30 million cap per project imposed by Section 17.IV of the CDLAC Procedures on the basis that San Pedro Apartments is a large urban infill mixed-use project, which is located on a very small constrained site. The construction of the project cannot be phased. More specifically, the subject property is a 6-story mid-rise building located in the heart of downtown Los Angeles, which will have two layers of underground parking. In accordance with Section 17.IV.B, the Executive Director is recommending that the Committee approve the exception as requested.

Legal Questionnaire:

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the application. No information was disclosed to question the financial viability or legal integrity of the Applicant.

Total Points: 51.5 out of 108

[See Attachment A]

Recommendation:

Staff recommends that the Committee approve \$70,000,000 in tax-exempt bond allocation.

ATTACHMENT A

EVALUATION SCORING:

	Maximum	Maximum	
	Points Allowed	Points Allowed	
Point Criteria	for Non-Mixed	for Mixed	Points Scored
	Income	Income	
	Projects	Projects	
Federally Assisted At-Risk Project or HOPE			
VI Project	20	20	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions:			
Non-Mixed Income Project	35	15	6
Mixed Income Project			
Tance income risject			
Gross Rents	5	5	5
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions			
[Allowed if 10 pts not awarded above in	[10]	[10]	10
Federally Assisted At-Risk Project or HOPE			
VI Project]			
, , ,			
Large Family Units	5	5	0
January 1			
Leveraging	10	10	0
20 Yorugung	10	10	
Community Revitalization Area	15	15	10
Community Revitanzation Fired	13	13	10
Site Amenities	10	10	7.5
Site i montues	10	10	1.5
Service Amenities	10	10	0
Service Ameniues	10	10	U
Sustainable Building Methods	8	8	3
Sustainable Building Methods	0	0	3
New Construction	10	10	10
New Construction	10	10	10
Nagativa Painta	NA	NA	0
Negative Points	INA	INA	U
Total Paints	120	100	E1 5
Total Points	128	108	51.5

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.